



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that claim 1 would have to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 unless the two parties could agree on a suitable amendment. This is because method claim 1 did not include any significant, explicit recitation of a machine in the claim. Applicant agreed to let Examiner amend claim 1 to recite that the "determining" step is done using a computer in order to avert a 101 rejection. Applicant also agreed to let Examiner cancel the claims non-elected with traverse so that the case could pass to issue..